

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,056	11/20/2003	Koji Yamada	. 4041J-000812	9293
27572	7590 11/22/2005		EXAM	INER
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			FORD, JOHN K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3753	

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ϵ			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/718,056	YAMADA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	John K. Ford	3753			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a rep vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. ly be timely filed AS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	•	• •			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1–9 are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.	•			
10) The drawing(s) filed on 11/263 is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by	y the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f)			
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	promy amade de dierer 3				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re	eceived.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/718,056

Art Unit: 3753

Applicant has disclosed highly pertinent prior art in Figures 4 and 5 of the application drawings. With regard to Figure 4, applicant states in the specification that it depicts JP-A-H8-48128. The Examiner's copy of this JP '128 reference however shows significant differences that are highly relevant to the claims, between the schematic diagram in Figure 4 and the actual device. While no publication corresponding to prior art Figure 5 has been produced by applicant, it is evident from page 2, lines 4-9 of applicant's specification that applicant is apparently aware of details of this prior art beyond what is schematically depicted in Figure 5. A publication or carefully drawn sketch of the details of the actual prior art corresponding to prior art Figure 5 is required in response to this action.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

First species of device as shown in Figure 1 (wherein the recess houses a servomotor),

Second species of device, not shown, wherein the recess houses refrigerant piping and

Third species of device, also not shown, wherein the recess houses hot water piping.

In addition applicant must elect one of the following sub-species:

First sub-species of partition board as shown in Figure 1, wherein the partition board is off-set twice (i.e. recessed) to return to its original plane and

Second sub-species of partition board as shown in Figure 3, wherein the partition board is "stepped shaped"

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP§809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,056 Page 4

Art Unit: 3753

To properly elect applicant must elect one species of device from the three listed and one sub-species of partition board from the two listed.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to John K. Ford at telephone number 571-272-4911.

Jenn R. Pord Primary Exeminer